

Alphinity Global Sustainable Equity Fund – Active ETF



QUARTERLY REPORT MARCH 2026

Performance ¹	1 Month %	3 Months %	1 Year % p.a.	3 Years % p.a.	5 Years % p.a.	Since Inception ² % p.a.
Fund return (net)	-3.1	-10.5	-4.1	7.0	-	6.6
MSCI World Net Total Return Index (AUD)	-2.6	-6.1	8.2	15.9	-	12.0

Fund facts

Portfolio managers	Jeff Thomson, Jonas Palmqvist, Trent Masters, Chris Willcocks.
APIR code	HOW1000AU
Inception date	3 June 2021
ASX Code	XASG
Investment objective	To outperform the MSCI World Net Index (AUD).
Management fee	0.75% p.a.
Performance fee	10% of the excess return of the Fund above the Performance Benchmark (MSCI World Net Return Index (AUD)) and only paid if performance is above the Performance Hurdle (Reserve Bank of Australia cash rate target). Any negative or unpaid performance is carried forward to the next period. ¹
Buy/sell spread	+0.25% / -0.25%
Fund size	\$178m
Distributions	Annually at 30 June
Min. Investment	\$10,000
Max. cash position	20%
Carbon Intensity (ave weighted) Scope 1 & 2	52.5 (vs MSCI Benchmark 105.2)

Top 10 positions

Company	Sector	%
Nvidia	Information Technology	6.0
AstraZeneca	Health Care	4.8
Microsoft	Information Technology	4.8
JP Morgan	Financials	4.3
DBS Group	Financials	4.3
Apple	Information Technology	4.3
MasterCard	Financials	4.0
TSMC	Information Technology	3.9
Walmart	Consumer Staples	3.7
CRH	Resources	3.6
Total		43.8

Data Source: Fidante Partners Limited, 31 March 2026

Fund features


Sustainable companies: An investable universe of companies that we believe have a net positive alignment with one or more of the 17 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's) and exceed Alphinity's minimum ESG criteria.

Exclusions: Exclusions from the investable universe, defined by a Charter, for activities that are considered to be incongruent with the SDG's


Active ownership and stewardship: We seek to engage with companies on ESG matters and also intend to vote all proxies put to shareholders

Consistent Returns: We can invest in growth, value, cyclical or defensive companies, because we aim to own them at the right time in their earnings cycle

Geographical exposure



Sector exposure



1 Returns are calculated after fees have been deducted and assume distributions have been reinvested. No allowance is made for tax when calculating these figures. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

2 The inception date for the Fund is 3 June 2021

3 Numbers may not add due to rounding

Market comment and outlook


The positive start to the first quarter of 2026 came rapidly undone in March, with nowhere to hide really, other than the comfort of cash as the war between Iran and US/Israel showed no signs of an end game. Confusion was the main takeaway with mixed messages doing nothing but add to volatility and increasing concern over what an extended closure of the Strait of Hormuz means for the supply of fuel, inflation and potential economic catastrophe. The joint forces of the US and Israel took out some of Iran’s leadership which prompted retaliation from Iran whereby critical infrastructure was damaged including the Ras Laffan LNG facility in Qatar and Saudi oil refineries.

they’re moving day by day in response to conflicting headlines around potential ceasefires where the narrative changes on an almost continuous basis.

Portfolio comment and outlook

The first quarter of 2026 was challenging for global equity markets. Headline indices were resilient in January and February, albeit with significant volatility underneath the surface, however in March the Middle Eastern conflict pushed markets sharply lower. The conflict has increased energy prices significantly, re-igniting inflationary concerns and raising doubts about the outlook for rates and growth. Many consensus trades reversed sharply, with defensives beating cyclical and notable underperformance in many large cap Technology stocks and some other AI related winners.

All Major Indices have seen a sharp multiple contraction in response to the US/Iran war




Source: Bloomberg, 31 March 2026

Markets responded accordingly, with the MSCI World index falling 6.9% in AUD terms over the March quarter (-3.9% in USD). The US S&P 500 index fell 7.6% in AUD (-4.6% in USD), underperforming broader global markets given the sell-off across the index-heavy Technology stocks. Interestingly, in the US it was the small cap stocks outperforming large caps (the Russel 2000 index outperformed the S&P 500 by 5% in Q1), which was the opposite of what was seen in Australia where the larger cap stocks outperformed. A strong performance in Korea, Taiwan and China drove Emerging Markets to relatively outperform the MSCI World index by 3.3% over Q1 2026.

The moves at a sector level were also commensurate with a geopolitically motivated risk-off environment. Energy stocks were the clear winner, rising over 30% in the March quarter, while the more defensive Utilities (+5%) and Materials (+4%) also outperformed. Consumer Discretionary stocks suffered the most, losing 14%, closely followed by Technology (-12%), Financials (-10%) and Communications Services (-9%).

The Middle East conflict sparked a swift re-pricing of interest rate expectations. Prior to the start of the attacks (incredibly just 6 weeks ago), markets had been pricing in multiple rate cuts from the US Federal Reserve. Given the prospect of higher sustained energy, food and raw materials prices, the inflation outlook has rapidly changed, prompting markets to reduce the likelihood of rate cuts any time soon. US 10-year bond yields jumped 37 basis points in March to 4.32%, although stabilised somewhat in recent days. In reality,

Earnings revisions continued to broaden across regions out during March'26



Source: Bloomberg, 31 March 2026


Before the latest developments in the Middle East, there were reasons to be optimistic about the outlook for global growth and corporate earnings. In the first quarter, the US ISM Manufacturing PMI inflected into expansionary territory after more than three years of contraction. Meanwhile the ISM Services PMI accelerated higher underpinned by generally solid labor and consumption trends despite some weakness at the lower end. The corporate earnings cycle is also firmly in positive territory. After another healthy reporting season, consensus expectations continue to be revised higher. There are also some encouraging signs of earnings strength broadening to sectors outside of well-established Technology leadership. Financials remain in positive territory, recently joined by Materials as higher commodity prices flow through to earnings. Industrials are also showing some signs of improvement mirroring positive commentary we have picked up at a company level. At the weaker end, Consumer Discretionary stands out with negative revisions for both 2026 and 2027. Healthcare also remains a noticeable laggard. We currently believe that the cycle and relative leadership can prove to be resilient in the face of recent events but of course recognize that the longer the conflict persists, the more likely it is to have a significant negative impact on the outlook for growth, earnings and equity markets; especially given unusually low equity risk premia and high valuations which don’t provide much margin

for safety.

Our portfolio positioning reflects current market leadership with significant investments in various Technology and Financials stocks, but also a diversified range of other high-quality cyclical and defensives with established earnings momentum. Portfolio activity during the quarter was prompted mainly by company reports, but also adjustments to reflect a rapidly evolving AI thematic and some increased caution about risks emerging from recent events in the Middle East. We took a new position in ASML as the definitive lithography leader, while trimming positions in Broadcom, Tencent and CBRE to manage other AI related risks. Alphabet was added after a positive report, and with market expectations having tempered somewhat recently. We also invested in Keysight where earnings are likely to have bottomed after several years of cyclical headwinds. A new position was established in Mitsubishi UFJ, which offers attractive earnings upside from a structural recovery in Japanese growth and rates, as well as improved corporate governance. Other new positions included Siemens Energy (strong demand for energy infrastructure including a recovery in their wind business) and Welltower (senior housing leader with tailwinds from occupancy gains, pricing power and operational synergies), both after strong reports. Portfolio buying was financed primarily from selling out of residual positions in ServiceNow, Booking and Veeva due to low visibility on the long-term threat from agentic AI. We also sold out of Linde and O'Reilly following lacklustre reports, and Danone following product recalls within their infant formula segment.


performance tailwind as fundamentals re-establish themselves and with more attractive valuations. Quality remains one of the cornerstones of our process. Overall, the Portfolio continues to be strongly exposed to fundamentally driven, positive earnings revisions in high-quality companies, which we expect to drive performance over time. From a portfolio construction perspective, risk is dominated by stock selection, with regional and sector tilts versus benchmark being kept within relatively tight limits. We are actively following events in the Middle East, as well as AI more broadly, and will proactively adjust risk as the outlook for growth, rates and corporate earnings continue to evolve.

BOUGHT Mitsubishi UFJ -



Source: Bloomberg, Alphinity, 15 April 2026


Mag 7 – Much cheaper than previous Top 7 stocks during Tech peaks



Source: GS Data, 7 April 2026

AI continues to present exciting opportunities across the market as the infrastructure buildout continues, and companies embrace the technology to drive productivity and new revenue opportunities. However, there are clear risks given how fast this technology is evolving, with economic returns that are still unclear and the disruption risks to incumbent businesses. Consequently, we are thoughtful about stock selection and position sizes, as well as managing the overall portfolio exposure to the AI theme at a relatively unchanged level. Furthermore, after a historically weak year for 'Quality' stocks in 2025 (the first negative year since 2016 in our quant models) we expect this factor to return as a

Mitsubishi UFJ – Net SDG Alignment Score = 60%



MUFG is Japan's largest financial group, offering comprehensive financial services including retail and digital banking, commercial banking and wealth management, corporate and investment banking, asset management, and global markets. These activities contribute positively to **SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth)** through its provision of banking services that support economic activity, job creation, and financial inclusion across Japan and globally. The company's retail and digital banking lending services also contribute to **SDG 1 (No Poverty)** by providing access to financial services and credit for individuals and small businesses. However, we also recognise potential negative impacts of its financial services, such as the risks associated with unsustainable debt cycles (**SDG 1**), systemic economic risks managed by large financial institutions (**SDG 8**), and the bank's financing of fossil fuel activities which presents challenges to **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**.

Source: Alphinity, 31 March 2026

Traveller's Tale

During March, both the Alphinity domestic and global investment teams travelled abroad to see companies, attend conferences and meet with industry bodies with the intention of deepening research into ideas that will shape our future portfolios. Jacob travelled to China, Monique travelled to the US, and Jeff and Jessica did Europe. Quite a lot of the globe was covered in a few weeks!

Jake's China Trip: The Great Delivery Wars

There's a particular sound you should apparently fear on Chinese sidewalks: the high-pitched whir of an electric scooter approaching at speed, followed by the sharp beep warning you to get out of the way. Jacob experienced this first-hand in Shanghai. The delivery riders are everywhere weaving through traffic, mounting footpaths, checking phones mid-ride, racing against algorithms that measure their performance in seconds, not minutes.

This reflects the rapid growth of Instant Retail/Quick Commerce that is taking place in China, enabled by a growing number of digitally native consumers who conduct many elements of their daily lives through super apps such as WeChat. While not a new phenomenon, growth continues to accelerate in these commerce channels, enabled by significant investments in infrastructure and subsidies by large e-commerce platforms such as Alibaba and JD.com. Such is the scale that consumers are able to economically purchase items as invaluable as a cup of coffee and have it delivered to them in single-digit minutes while still hot.

Welcome to China's Instant Retail wars, where e-commerce giants are currently burning cash at a rate that would make even the most optimistic venture capitalist wince. In 2025, Alibaba and Meituan spent over RMB 100 billion (roughly USD 14 billion) on consumer subsidies, trying to become the default "everything app" for Chinese consumers. The subsidy war got so intense that Chinese regulators intervened mid-year, condemning the "irrational competition" and forcing a truce. JD.com's billionaire founder Liu Qiangdong even personally delivered meal orders as a publicity stunt to launch JD's food delivery service—though one suspects his delivery times weren't quite as impressive as the professionals.

The scale is staggering. China now processes 56,000 online food orders every minute, and that's just food. Add in groceries, convenience items, and the impulse purchase of a forgotten phone charger, and you have an infrastructure that makes Australia's delivery networks look positively leisurely. Jacob watched delivery robots—actual autonomous boxes on wheels—navigate crowded Shanghai footpaths with more confidence than most tourists.

This has created some fascinating behavioural shifts. When coffee arrives faster than you can make it yourself, when a single chocolate bar can be economically delivered to your door in ten minutes, purchasing patterns change. Jacob's meetings with consumer goods companies revealed they're scrambling to redesign packaging for this new reality. Smaller pack sizes, more convenient formats, products designed for impulse purchases rather than weekly shopping trips. The classic family-size pack of Tim Tams? Less relevant when you can order a single packet and have it arrive before your Netflix episode finishes.

For Australian investors, this matters because the same pattern is emerging here, just at an earlier stage. Coles and Woolworths have both flagged that their fastest-growing online segment is rapid delivery—orders fulfilled in under an hour from dark stores and micro-fulfilment centres.

The implications ripple across the portfolio if this trend carries to Australia: retailers need to rethink store networks, logistics companies need different infrastructure, and consumer goods manufacturers need to redesign some of their product architecture for a world where the weekly supermarket trip is increasingly supplemented with more immediate purchases.


The Chinese model may be unsustainable in its current form—some researchers predict the heavy-subsidy delivery model has only about a decade left, particularly as regulators force platforms to provide social insurance for riders—but the behaviour it's training into consumers isn't going away. Once you've experienced coffee delivery in eight minutes, waiting until Saturday's grocery shop starts to feel unreasonably inconvenient.

Jacob returned from China with a simple observation: the delivery riders aren't just ferrying meals and groceries. They're rewiring consumer expectations. And Australian companies are next in line to figure out what that means for their business models.

Monique's US Dispatch – Robots, LNG, and Existential Dread

Monique swapped spreadsheets for airport security lines (literally... TSA was a nightmare apparently), embarking on a whirlwind US trip that started in San Francisco. Monique dived into the world of AI, meeting with software companies, hyperscalers, LLM developers, and data centre operators. As you would expect building the future takes a lot of chips, a mountain of servers, and an even bigger appetite for electricity—plus a few headaches about how to keep it all running without blowing the grid.

At Tesla HQ, Monique encountered Optimus, Elon Musk's robot creation, reenacting the great challenge of our age: picking up an orange. Humans, attached to wires, performed the motion; Optimus dutifully copied them, presumably wondering if oranges taste better than batteries. Turns out, teaching a robot to rival the humble human hand is quite the challenge. If Musk's team cracks that code, laundry folding and stacking supermarket shelves might just be outsourced to our soon-to-be robot overlords. For now, though, it's mostly oranges and awkward dance moves. Still, it was a sobering taste of a future where more human jobs could be replaced by machines than most of us are comfortable admitting.



AI, meanwhile, is evolving at breakneck speed. Capability is leaping ahead, and it became clear to Monique that while robots might take a little longer to invade our kitchens, AI is set to shake up the workplace much sooner than most of us would like to think. The lesson? Start learning how to use AI now—before it starts finding your job more interesting than you do.

From there, Monique landed in Houston, just in time for the Woodside investor tour of Louisiana LNG. The new liquefaction site was a sprawling patchwork of steel, cement, pipes, and dirt—early days, but impressive in its scale and ambition. The Bechtel team looked up to the challenge, and the site's location is as strategic as they come and at a cost to build that new entrants can't compete on.

Travellers Tales (Cont'd)

The energy market's outlook, however, wasn't quite so serene. Despite hopes for a crystal ball, no one could predict the next twist in geopolitics—or the energy sector. The consensus: energy supply chains remain tight, energy security is top priority, and the pre-war status quo isn't making a comeback anytime soon. By the time Monique landed back at the office, she was in need of a morale boost, having absorbed one too many conversations about robots taking jobs and the stubborn challenges facing the global energy sector.



Jess's Nordic Spring

In March, Jess and Jeff headed to Copenhagen and Stockholm for four days of company meetings across industrials, energy, financials, defence and consumer sectors. The Copenhagen leg covered Carlsberg, Vestas, Novonosis, DSV, Ørsted and Maersk, while the Stockholm meetings included Svenska Handelsbanken, EQT, Swedbank, Epiroc, Sandvik and Saab.

The structural shift in European defence and energy security policy was front of mind across several meetings — NATO spending commitments and the broader geopolitical landscape are fundamentally reshaping how investors and companies think about the sector. Saab, which has nearly doubled its workforce to 28,000 in four years and is opening new facilities in the US, India and Finland, impressed with its responsible sales framework, which integrates dedicated human rights expertise and democracy data into its assessment of export opportunities, with many sales routed through the Swedish Government as an additional governance layer.

This shift is also playing out in Nordic banking, where Swedbank highlighted the need for more nuanced frameworks.

On mining electrification, Epiroc and Sandvik expressed strong conviction on the transition to battery electric vehicles for underground and open cut mining, however noted that outside of some standout examples, the industry has been slow to move. Notably, both miners highlighted Australian miners are leading the way on electrification, with Roy Hill and Fortescue singled out as standout examples of operators embracing automation and fleet decarbonisation. Both companies also highlighted the pricing pressures and availability of Tungsten.

Industry reports indicate the cost of tungsten carbide drill bits has gone up 400% since early 2025. Sandvik are the largest tungsten supplier outside of Asia and also have an integrated recycling solution and an ambition is to achieve 90 percent circularity by 2030.

AI adoption was a thread running through nearly every meeting, though at markedly different stages of maturity. DSV stood out with a detailed walkthrough of how the company is deploying agentic AI across its booking and logistics platform — using AI to clean and route customer data, flag exceptions for human intervention, and learn from corrections in real time, with the system going live the week after our visit. Swedbank is applying AI to transaction monitoring and AML compliance, while Epiroc is piloting an AI-powered app that allows field technicians servicing complex underground mining equipment to interact directly with technical manuals in real time, improving efficiency and reducing downtime at the coalface. Novonosis sees AI as a competitive differentiator in R&D, using proprietary fermentation data to accelerate enzyme discovery.

For further information, please contact:

Fidante Partners Investor Services
Phone: 1300 721 637 Email: info@fidante.com.au Web: www.fidante.com.au

Alphinity Investment Management
Web: www.alphinity.com.au



Important information: This material has been prepared by Alphinity Investment Management Limited (ABN 94 002 835 592, AFSL 234668) Alphinity, the investment manager of the Alphinity Global Sustainable Equity Fund. Fidante Partners Limited ABN 94 002 835 592 AFSL 234668 (Fidante) is a member of the Challenger Limited group of companies (Challenger Group) and is the responsible entity of the Fund. Other than information which is identified as sourced from Fidante in relation to the Fund, Fidante is not responsible for the information in this material, including any statements of opinion. It is general information only and is not intended to provide you with financial advice or take into account your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should consider, with a financial adviser, whether the information is suitable to your circumstances. The Fund's Target Market Determination and Product Disclosure Statement (PDS) available at www.fidante.com should be considered before making a decision about whether to buy or hold units in the Fund. To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Alphinity and Fidante have entered into arrangements in connection with the distribution and administration of financial products to which this material relates. In connection with those arrangements, Alphinity and Fidante may receive remuneration or other benefits in respect of financial services provided by the parties. Investments in the Fund are subject to investment risk, including possible delays in repayment and loss of income or principal invested. Accordingly, the performance, the repayment of capital or any particular rate of return on your investments are not guaranteed by any member of the Challenger Group.

Alphinity Global Sustainable Equity Fund
QUARTERLY REPORT – MARCH 2026