



Marching higher

Market comment

The March quarter started softly but turned out to be a quite pleasing one, the market (ASX200 including dividends) rising by almost 5%. This stacks up quite well compared to major global markets. In \$A terms a couple of Asian markets did better (Singapore and Korea +~8%) but Hong Kong was only +3% and Japan and China were both down about 2%. Most major bourses lagged Australian returns in \$A in the March quarter: Canada was 3% lower, the UK, US and most European markets were all about flat.



The main reason for Australia's outperformance was a strong \$A, which rose from \$US0.72 to just over \$US0.76. Unusually, this happened in an environment of generally lower commodity prices over the quarter. After finishing 2016 at fairly elevated levels, coal prices in \$A terms have moderated somewhat with Hard Coking Coal (used for steel making) falling 37% and Thermal Coal (used for power generation) falling 14%. Iron Ore was about 4% lower.



The sectors that performed well in the quarter were an eclectic bunch: Health Care, Utilities and Consumer Staples did well with 14%, 10% and 9% returns respectively. The laggard was Telecommunications (-7%).

The quarter also featured an increase in the official short term interest (aka Fed Funds) rate by the US Federal Reserve. The first in this cycle was in December 2015, and the second in December 2016; the third was in March. Although no one could accuse the Fed of rushing things, the pace of change has clearly picked up and reflects a desire by the Fed for a more "normal" level of rates for this point in the US economic cycle, which has now been in expansion since it troughed in the June Quarter 2009. As always, how high the Fed Funds rate goes and how quickly it gets there will depend on the economic data coming out in the US, and the most recent points have been a little on the soft side. The market is presently expecting another two or three similar 0.25% hikes this calendar year. There was no change to Australian official interest rates, and no change is likely for some time.

Portfolio comment

The Fund performed a little below the market in the March quarter. The best returns came from global wine producer Treasury Wine Estates, gaming machine maker Aristocrat Leisure and energy company AGL, although not owning Telstra helped considerably. Offsetting this however was our exposure to building materials company Fletcher Building, resource giant Rio Tinto and being underweight major bank Westpac.

Performance*	1 month %	Quarter %	1 year %	3 years % p.a.	5 years % p.a.	Since inception^ % p.a.
Fund return (net)	3.0	4.0	21.8	9.5	13.6	11.3
S&P/ASX 200 Accumulation Index	3.3	4.8	20.5	7.5	11.1	9.3

*Returns are calculated after fees have been deducted, assuming reinvestment of distributions. No allowance is made for tax. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

^The Fund changed investment manager and investment methodology on 12 July 2010, at which time Alphinity Investment Management commenced managing the Fund and started the transitioning of the portfolios to a structure consistent with Alphinity's investment views. The transition was completed on 31 August 2010. The inception date for the returns for the Fund is 1 September 2010. For performance relating to previous periods, please contact the Fidante Partners Investor Services team on 13 51 53 during Sydney business hours.

Quarterly Comment – March 2017

Alphinity Wholesale Concentrated Australian Share Fund

Market outlook

Not too hot, not too cold? The Australian equity market seems to keep finding more reasons to move higher. Our market's performance in March was impressive considering the flat US equity market and falls in the prices of important commodities such as oil, coal and iron ore. Investors took these negative leads in their stride and instead focused on the positive valuation implications of lower bond yields, pushing up other sectors such as Healthcare, Utilities and Financials. Global bonds have been range-bound so far in 2017, with the latest rally triggered by the US Fed's future rate hike plans being interpreted as benign and further impetus perhaps added by the failure of US President Trump's healthcare bill. This appears optimistic to us as the debate within the Fed still seems to be whether to raise interest rates two, three or four times this year, not whether to pause or change direction. Much can still happen that might lead to an outcome at the upper end of that range, not the least Trump now turning his focus on pro-growth tax cuts. Higher bond yields thus still seem more likely than status quo or lower yields.

We would be cautious about extrapolating the rebound in some yield proxy stocks from here. Importantly however, while hard economic data in the US has underwhelmed a little so far in 2017, even though leading indicators remain very strong, economic activity in Europe seems to be picking up ahead of expectations. Coupled with an improved outlook for many developing markets, global growth appears to be on track to accelerate somewhat in 2017. So while the equity market's support from bond yields looks vulnerable, its support from economic growth looks more robust.

The Australian economic outlook continues to be mixed. On the positive side we are probably now through the Resources capital expenditure (capex) bust, with the headwind of declining new project investment spend being replaced with a pick-up in maintenance capex following the rebound in commodity prices. On the other hand spending on residential construction activity which, at least on the east coast, has been a substantial offset to the fall in Resource capex, looks set to slow. Increased infrastructure spending, predominantly on roads, should pick up some of the slack but the net outcome is likely to be a continuation of modest growth which suggests prolonged low rates of wage growth and consumer spending. Meaningful political initiatives to change this picture are difficult to envisage. From a share market perspective, this may be a reasonable outcome. Modest growth most likely means a continuation of the current low domestic interest rates and, apart from the risk of a disorderly end to the east coast housing boom, the Australian equity market is likely to grind higher from here.

Asset allocation	31 March 2017 %	Range %
Securities	98.0	90-100
Cash	2.0	0-10

Portfolio Outlook

Following dramatically divergent sector performances in 2016, when Resource stocks rallied sharply in line with commodity prices but the more defensive stable earnings growers, in which investors had previously been seeking shelter in a low growth environment, retreated, we have recently been suggesting a more balanced outcome will take place in 2017. This appears to be playing out so far even though the recovery in some of the yield-sensitive sectors has been surprisingly strong, and the reaction to softening iron ore price from recent lofty levels has been noticeable.

We reduced our overweight to resources in February as the sector looked vulnerable to a pullback in commodity prices. We also shifted our exposure more towards steel companies, as we see steel prices – or at least the spread between the price of steel and its inputs (iron ore and coking coal) – will be supported not only by solid demand but also by structural reductions in Chinese steel capacity. Resource stocks still have the strongest support from both valuation and earnings upgrade potential. As spot commodity prices still remain well above market expectations, especially for 2018, it wouldn't take much in the way of prices appearing to stabilise for the sector to perform better again, in our view. We are thus sticking with a moderate overweight to the Resource sector at this point with a view to possibly increasing the overweight at a later stage should our expectations of decent global growth and a solid Chinese economy prove correct.

Equally, we are staying with an underweight to yield-sensitive sectors, especially Real Estate Investment Trusts and infrastructure, slightly offset by an overweight to Banks as this sector has seen some improvement in its earnings outlook and hence reduced risks to dividends. The valuation of high quality, stable growth companies has become more appealing over the last six months or so, and we have increased our weighting slightly to these where we have identified companies that are also showing upside to current earnings expectations. CSL, Treasury Wine Estates and MYOB are some examples of this. Overall, both the market and the portfolio direction in the near term are to some extent, as the US Fed likes to say about its interest rate settings, data dependent. We would expect a clearer picture to emerge over the next few months.

Top 5 active overweight positions as at 31 March 2017	Index weight %	Active weight %
National Australia Bank	5.8	4.5
Macquarie Group	2.0	3.1
Westpac Banking Corporation	7.6	3.0
Aristocrat Leisure	0.7	2.8
Goodman Group	0.8	2.7

Traveller's Tale

Bruce visited Bunnings in March. This might not seem terribly noteworthy, after all he does tend to end up there most weekends, but this visit required more time: to the first Bunnings store outside Australia and NZ.

It was one of those great cosmic coincidences. In January 2016 Woolworths announced it was abandoning its hardware format Masters after incurring multi-billion dollar losses. That very same day Wesfarmers, which owns Woolworths' major supermarket competitor Coles as well as the hugely successful Bunnings, announced it was buying struggling UK retailer, Homebase, as a platform to launch Bunnings into the UK and Ireland. It's fair to assume Wesfarmers didn't anticipate Brexit – after all, who did? – but notwithstanding that shock it pressed on and opened its first Bunnings store in February 2017.

England's weather in March is usually quite challenging but it turned out to be quite pleasant for the few days Bruce was there, and significantly better than it was in soggy Sydney. He trekked north to Milton Keynes where Bunnings' head office is based for a brief presentation, then boarded a bus with about twenty of his closest friends to go a few miles south to the pleasant little town of St Albans. St Albans is these days a dormitory suburb for London but it has quite a history. It was once known as Verulamium, back in the days when that slightly larger town to the south was called Londinium – i.e. around AD50. It was renamed after a local martyr around AD400 but now is most famous for having the first ever UK Bunnings. St Albans is something of a hardware Mecca, with several other competing stores in the area, so it seems quite a good market in which to try its first store. Bunnings is being cautious, opening a handful of stores each with minor differences over the course of the year before it will take stock, decide if it is working and how to proceed.

So what is an English Bunnings like compared with those we're used to? Remarkably similar. Replace the £s on the price tags with \$s and you could be pretty much anywhere in Australia. The first store is a bit smaller than ours would typically be, but the look and feel was almost identical. The garden section was very impressive. Being in the store was quite a comforting feeling for the Australians but of course that doesn't mean it will succeed in what is quite a different market. The local competitors' offerings seem, to our eyes at least, vastly inferior but maybe that's what the UK market likes. Only time will tell.



One of the Australian practices it has adopted is the weekend charity Sausage Sizzle, a phenomenon heretofore unknown in the UK and one that initially caused a minor media sensation. Like most things it will require some localisation – the first weekend it operated it was pouring with rain and only 1°C! But it also managed to raise several hundred pounds for a good cause.



Bunnings is doing a few things differently to the old Homebase: it has renovated the old store and has increased service levels significantly, doubling the number of staff. It has increased wages, exceeding the standard set by the UK's National Living Wage program which aims to lift incomes for low-wage workers. It has increased the level of stock significantly, meaning that advertised goods are more readily available. It reduced prices, and is materially cheaper than many major competitors. These factors all point to higher costs and/or squeezed margins, which means Bunnings will need to lift sales sizably compared to the old Homebase store in order to prosper. It will be some time before we can call Bunnings UK a definitive success.

So, is the Bunnings format, which works so well in Australia, going to resonate in the UK market? It's too early to say. Bunnings is a starkly different offering to what is presently in the market so has the chance to disrupt the current situation. As modest shareholders of Wesfarmers it is reassuring that it is not "betting the farm", which is essentially what Woolworths did with Masters. There is a decent amount of capital being committed but Bunnings has measured plans and the Wesfarmers group is much larger and has more diverse income streams than Woolworths did, so the impact of getting it terribly wrong is significantly smaller.

One thing Bunnings is missing is an online offer. Online retail is huge in the UK, even in hardware, and while a service is being planned, both for delivery and click-and-collect, it won't be ready for another year or so: this may hold back the success of the whole venture. Until then, people will just have to turn up at the store and pick things off the shelf, just like we do in Oz.

BTW

Many of us have credit cards linked to frequent flyer-style rewards programs. These can act as a powerful incentive to



push as much spending as possible through a particular card to earn as many points as possible that you then can (or more often cannot) spend on flights or those elusive business class upgrades.

It works well for the issuing bank which takes a clip on each transaction and stands to benefit even more if you end up incurring the exorbitant interest rates that apply to most credit cards. It also helps that the schemes are popular with customers: happy customers tend to be sticky customers, and these are like gold for a bank. More importantly though, it is good business. People who use this type of card typically have higher-than-average incomes and spend more on their cards, which generates higher merchant fees for the banks. They also tend to pay their bills on time so the banks experience fewer bad debts.

However the banks have to buy the points from airlines, and those points don't come cheaply. There is a lot of variation around the world, and local airlines are very coy about the price of a point, but a recent Bloomberg article we saw suggests it typically costs around 2c, so your monthly \$3500/3500 point credit card bill would probably cost the bank about \$70. That could really add up.

But the real winners seem to be the airlines. Bloomberg also said these types of programs can be substantial profit centres in their own right for a lot of airlines, and that American Airlines which is the largest airline in the world makes more from its loyalty program than it does from flying people around. It reckons that the \$US35 billion value of its loyalty program dwarfs the entire company's \$21 billion market capitalisation.

When it comes to redeeming points, the seats airlines let you "buy" or upgrade to are generally unsold stock, so the cost of providing the reward is close to zero. Of course, not all the rewards are seats, Qantas allows you to redeem a wide variety of goods or services with those nebulous points. Probably the most reliable indicator of worth is the Woolworths gift card. For 3760 points you can get \$25 of value, which works out to be about 150 points per dollar. \$25 at David Jones is better value at 3100 points (124/\$); Myer and JB Hi Fi are slightly more expensive 3380 points (135). Least generous is a Westfield gift card – this will cost you 4440 points (177). Or Qantas itself will sell you a pair of those Bose noise-cancelling headphones so beloved of long-haul travellers for a bit over 70,000 points: based on the \$399 recommended price this is a Westfieldian 176 points per dollar.



The equation of issuing all those points for say \$70 and then redeeming them for a \$25 gift card looks like good business indeed for the airlines.

**Alphinity Investment Management**

Level 12, 179 Elizabeth Street

Sydney NSW 2000

T 02 9994 7200

F 02 9994 6692

W www.alphinity.com.au

Unless otherwise specified, any information contained in this publication is current as at the date of this report and is provided by Fidante Partners Limited (ABN 94 002 835 592, AFSL 234668) the issuer of the Alphinity Australian Share Fund (ARSN 092 999 301) and the Alphinity Concentrated Australian Share Fund (ARSN 089 715 659) (Fund). References to Alphinity Wholesale Australian Share Fund and the Alphinity Wholesale Concentrated Australian Share Fund are to the wholesale class unit in the relevant fund and references to the Alphinity Australian Share Fund and the Alphinity Concentrated Australian Share Fund are to the retail class unit in the relevant fund. Alphinity Investment Management Limited (ABN 12 140 833 709, AFSL 356895) is the investment manager of the Fund. It should be regarded as general information only rather than advice. It has been prepared without taking account of any person's objectives, financial situation or needs. Because of that, each person should, before acting on any such information, consider its appropriateness, having regard to their objectives, financial situation and needs. Each person should obtain the relevant Product Disclosure Statement (PDS) relating to the Fund and consider that PDS before making any decision about the Fund. A copy of the PDS can be obtained from your financial adviser, our Investor Services team on 13 51 53, or on our website www.fidante.com.au. If you acquire or hold the product, we and/or a Fidante Partners related company will receive fees and other benefits which are generally disclosed in the PDS or other disclosure document for the product. Neither Fidante Partners nor a Fidante Partners related company and our respective employees receive any specific remuneration for any advice provided to you. However, financial advisers (including some Fidante Partners related companies) may receive fees or commissions if they provide advice to you or arrange for you to invest in the Fund. Alphinity Investment Management, some or all Fidante Partners related companies and directors of those companies may benefit from fees, commissions and other benefits received by another group company.